G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1893-94
191
( Inamdar )

# File No. 152

# Serial Nos.

# Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the payment of the arrears of allowance due to Suddekunte Bhairava Bhatt a Inamdar Stipendiary in the Gadagiri Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES LATER REFERENCES.

(13)

Memo.

Letter No. 212 dated 30th August 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur.

---

There is a hereditary Muzrai Ready Money grant of Rs 7.4.0 (No. 27 of the Maddagiri Taluk) in the name of one Lakshmi Narasimha bhatta. This man died (date not known). The allowance was then continued to his mother till her death in 1878. Then the allowance was continued to one Bhairavabhatta (nephew of the original grantee Sidhi's son) till the year 1886, when Mr. P. N. Krishna Murti, then Deputy Commissioner of the District finding that there was no stipend order issued in his name, directed that in the absence of such an order the payment should be stopped. Bhairava bhatta however failed to

take action for obtaining a Stipend order in his name for 5 years (from 1886 - 1891) and the payment of the allowance was therefore stopped all the while.

2. In May 1891, Bhairava bhatta petitioned the then Deputy Commissioner, Mr. B. K Venkatarvaradiengar for a renewal of the allowance which was declined. Thereupon Bhairava bhatta appealed to Government in April 1893. After a perusal of the previous records, a fresh Stipend order was issued in the name of Bhairavabhatta under the signature of the Chief Secretary.

3. On receipt of the new Stipend order alluded to above, the Deputy Commissioner submitted for sanction of Government in the Muzrai Department a bill for Rs 68- being the arrears of allowance claimed by Bhairavabhatta

from May 1886 to May 1894
The Deputy Commissioner
was then asked to report
if the amount claimed grants
had been held in deposit
or credited to Surplus Funds.
In reply the Deputy Commis-
-sioner reported that the
amount of arrears claimed
by Bhairavabhatta had
neither been credited to
Surplus Funds nor held
in deposit.

4. A further reference
was then made to the
Deputy Commissioner, asking
him to report if the allowance
had been provided for 4
in the Budgets of previous 4
years. In reply the Deputy
Commissioner has reported xxx
that by an oversight the al-
-lowance in question, was
not provided for in the
Budgets of last years, and for
expressed his regret for the
omission

5. The question now R.
is about the payment 1/4
of the arrears of allowance
to Bhairavabhatta for the
period of nearly 8 years
from May 1886 when the
payment was first ordered

be stopped for want of a Stipend order, to February 1894 when a fresh Stipend order was issued by the Chief Secretary in the name of Bhairavabhatta

6 ~~Note~~

The Stoppage of the allowance was due solely to Bhairavabhatta's failure to take prompt steps to obtain a Stipend order in his name as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner in 1886. ~~Bhairavabhatta's claim to arrears for the period after 1886 is therefore irrecoverable.~~

The amount may be provided for in Savings, or from Supplemental Budget

31/10/95

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 2635

Name of Petitioner. Bhairava Bhatta, grandson of Subbamma

Village. Siddakunta

Taluk. Maddageri

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 8-4-93

Date of Receipt. 15-4-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one.

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the deputy Commissioner's order refusing to continue the Varsachanam of Rs 0-9-8 per month. ~~(yearly grant of Rs 9-8-0~~

17/4.

To deputy commissioner for submission of proceedings.

8/SAR 15/4

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9 92,—1000.

MYSORE DISTRICTS OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 2635
DATE 15.4.93

ఫిబ్రవరి 69

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

9

31 26-4-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

---

The accompanying Petition No. 2635

dated 8-4-93 received at the Dewan's Office from Bhairava Bhatta grandson of Subbamma Sudda Kunta, Hiaddagon Taluk, complaining, against the order of the Deputy (Commr 9-27-6-91, ~~[illegible] pay his Varshagandalu Sunkulu of Rs 9 8 as] to enhance [illegible] Varadhan (yearly grant of Rs 9-8-0)~~ to be returned is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Deputy (Commr Tumkur District, for reports of proceedings with a report on the facts of the case ~~and on the points taken in the petition~~

2 The Petition should be returned

Stating that the stipend of Rs. 9-8 which was being paid to Petr's grandmother cannot be paid to Petr unless Petr produces a special order in his favor in that behalf

(General Serial No. 30.)

264

1893. 94 Ret 10

From The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur Dt:

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt:~~ Govt:
of Mysore.

Dated 6th July 1893.

Despatched 7th July 1893.

Received

**Enclosures No.** 2 & 2 files of Vern: papers.

General No.

No. 20.

Chief Secys: no: 1331 dt: 24th April 1893

Reports on petition of Bhairavabhatta of Siddakunte in the Maddagiri Talúk regarding a Stipend of Rs: 1—9—0 which was being paid to him and subsequently stopped. Submits the Proceedings & returns the Enclosures—

Peta Ser.
Mrn
8/7/93

Submitted to the Chief Secretary With the Sanction of the Comm[r] Mandyam Rs: the Stipend which is reported to be a hereditary Inam was continued to Petr in 1879, but was stopped in April 1886, on the ground that there was no "Stipendiary Order" The Inam appears to be an Agrahara Cash Inam

CS 13/7

The Deputy Commr will be good enough to report what steps he took on the late Bhattaru to produce the necessary Stipendiary Order

Dated 15/7

No: 20. Tumkúr District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkúr 6th July 1893.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Official Memorandum No: 1331 of the 24th April 1893 forwarding for report with proceedings the petition of Bhairavabhatta of Suddakunté in the Madagiri Talúk, and in reply to state as follows:—

2. The petitioner complains that his Inzrai Stipend of R. A. P. 9—8, which was being paid to him, was stopped some years ago. This is true. The allowance was formerly being paid to one Lakshminarasimhabhatta.

He

He having died, the allowance was continued to his mother Subbamma, as per Muzrai Stipend order No: 21/10. She having died in 1878, the then De=puty Commissioner, Mr: Lacey, re=commended the allowance to her daughter's son Bhairavabhatta, the petitioner. The Commissioner of the late Nandidrug Division, in his Docket No: 1035 of the 12th June 1879, sanctioned the recommendation. The allowance was thereupon con=tinued to the petitioner. Subse=quently i.e. on the 24th of April 1886 the then Deputy Commissioner, Mr: Krishnamurti Row, in his order No: 41, directed that without Stipendi=ary orders no payment should be made. As the petitioner had not obtained a Stipendiary order the payment to him was stopped.

Five

Five years afterwards i.e. in May 1891 the petitioner appealed to this Office. I informed him, in reply, that I could not interfere in the orders of my predecessor. This was on the 27th of June 1891. The petitioner now appeals against it to Government in his petition dated the 8th April 1893.

3. The Final Register of Ready money Inams of this District shews that this Inam is hereditary (Vide No: 27 under Maddagiri Taluk in the said Register).

4. The records of the Case and the petition under report together with its Enclosure are herewith sent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Draft-fr.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

*From*

*The Chief Secretary*
*to the Dewan of Mysore.*

*To*

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District

*Dated* 19/7/93

*Despatched* 24/7/93

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.* 1406
*Pet* No. 121

Calling for further information ~~on the~~ in connection with the petition of Bhairava Bhatta of Suddakunte, Maddagire Taluk.

References to be marked.

From the same Do 999 2/8/93

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

His letter No 20, dated 6th Instant, reporting on the petition of Bhairavabhatta of Suddakunte Maddagiri Taluk

With reference to the above, has the honour to request that the Deputy Commissioner will be good enough to report what steps the Petitioner should take to obtain the necessary Stipendiary order.

By Order

Chief Secretary

Draft
(Ch. Correspondence)
S.V.

16

(General Serial No. 30.) 1552.

1893. 940-83

From The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkúr Dt:

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt~~ Govt:
of Mysore.

Dated 3rd August 1893.

Despatched 4th

Received

**Enclosures No. "**

General No.

No. 99.

Chief Secretary's No: 1406 d/ 121
the 19th July 1893 " —

States that if a stipendiary order is issued to Bhairava bhatta with permission to disburse the arrears, payment will be made

Submitted to the Chief Secretary.

The Dy Comm^r merely states that Pet^r should have applied for a Stipendiary Order, and that if an order is "Kindly" granted now he will pay the Stipend to the Petitioner.

In the Inam Dep^t Precis, I found the following notes:—

Par 28. Disposal of claims of to the Muzaraji allowance of a deceased Money Holder. (Cir. 8/13-5-72) } It is competent to the Sup^ts of Div^ns to dispose of the claims to the money allowance of a deceased Holder in the Taluks settled by the Department. But the deaths of any Muzaraji Stipendiary in the unsettled Taluks, should in the first instance be reported to the Inam Comm^r in order that the claims to the continuance of the allowance should be investigated and decided upon

These Muzaraji Stipendiaries should be inspected once a year by the District Officers.

Par 11. Lapse of Muzaraji Stipends. Letter 8/17-4-92. } In all unsettled Taluks the deaths of Muzaraji Stipendiaries should be reported to the Inam Supt^e, but in Taluks already settled the Comm^r of Division and Deputy Accountant Gen^l should dispose of such cases.

Tumkúr is a settled Taluk.

The question is who takes the place of the Division Supt^e or Commissioner. If the Muzaraji Supte does, the papers may be transferred to him.

19/8/93.

the present

Petitioner as the Appellate Secretary may order, to take the necessary steps, make the necessary arrangements to submit the necessary reports & forms thereto the Examiner for the purpose of the Suspending order of ~~the~~ Engineers for [illegible]

The Bureau denies that Pltf's case should be ~~settled~~ disposed of on its merits within the next 3 months

No: 99

Tumkúr District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkúr 3rd August 1893.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No: 1406/121 of the 19th July 1893 enquiring what steps Bhairavabhatta should take to have the Muzrai allowance in question continued to him, I have the honor to state that when in 1886 the allowance that was being paid to him was stopped by reason of his not having obtained a Stipendiary order he should have applied for one, but he kept quiet for nearly five years and then com=plained of the stoppage of the allowance If a Stipendiary order is kindly issued now with permission to disburse the ar=rears, payment will be made —.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Commissioner

239 dy 11-8-98
94

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

His letter No 99, dated 3rd August 1893 stating that Petitioner Bhairava Bhatta of Siddakunte, Madagiri Taluk ought to have applied for and obtained a Stipendiary Order to entitle him to payment of the Muzeraji allowance claimed by him with reference to the above has the honor to request that the Deputy Commissioner will be good enough ~~is requested~~ to regard the present petition of Bhairava Bhatta ~~of Siddakunte, Madagiri Taluk,~~ as the application for a Stipendiary Order, to take the necessary steps, make the necessary enquiries and to submit the necessary reports to Government, through the Inam Superintendent, for the issue of a Stipendiary Order

2. The Dewan desires that Petitioner's case should be disposed of on its merits within the next three months.

3. The Vernacular papers are returned for reference and resubmission.

By Order

Chief Secretary.

Draft order)

Draft

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

*From*

*The Chief Secretary*
*to the Government of Mysore*

*To*

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

Enclosures No

Reference to be marked

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore —9-1-93.—3,000.

to Petn

2\

1894 1118/2 R 2245 Pet 487

From

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 27th January
Despatched 31st
Received.

Enclosure No. Extract & Ko. Case

No.

As requested by the Sunkur Deputy Commissioner in his Vernacular Yadi No 850 of the 8th Instant, has the honor to forward an extract from the Nagad Mukpoi Inam Register of the Maddigiri Taluk of case No 19 under reference.-

2. The Yadi under reply together with all its enclosures is herewith sent.

Supdt of Inam Sett.

5/2

Read 7/2

Submitted to the Chief Secretary There is in the file a Muzarai Stipend order prepared in the D2/Commr 2d April (but not initialled by anybody) and submitted by the D2/Commr through the Dewan Supte for the Chief Secy's signature

7/2 (Signed
Rochfort
forwarded
7/2/94

H 11-2 Dec 31/1/94

4097 / Per 1382 d 15-2-94 / 23

27

To Revd / Encl: 1 V. file

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore

His docket no 39 dated 27th January 1894
forwarding an extract from the Nagar
Murzaye Inam Register of the Madjui
Taluk of Case no 19 and the papers
relating to the claim of Suddakunte
Bhavana Bhatta for a Murzaye
Stipend

---

With reference to the above has the
honour to forward herewith the
Murzaraye Stipend order in favour of
Bhavana Bhatta received therewith,
duly signed and to return the vernacular
records received with the above

By order

Chief Secretary

Draft.
MM

(General Serial No. 31.)

189 .

*From*

*To*

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

Enclosures No.

*General No.*

*No.*

Warrant Stipend order 24

Name of Stipendiary Siddakkanda Pshanawa Pshalla

| No. | Taluk | Name of District | Name of Village | Personal description | Height | | Date of cash receipt & Acquittance roll | Seal or cards | Amount of Stipend | For Real presence and on Real conditions<br><br>For personal benefit of the holder, Hereditary under clause 2 Section 7 of Minute rules (vide Nos 2076 of 6th July 93 and Nos 9996 of 28th August 93 from Deputy Commissioner Trichinopoly District and Nos 39 of 27th January 94 from Board Office, to Chief Secretary, to Government of Madras (Initialed) J. A. K |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Feet | Inches | | | | |
| 29 | 8 | Trichinopoly | Alladagere | Leaves of small trees on the face | 4 | 6 | 1887–38 | Seen Siddakkanda Pshanawa | Rupees Four annas nine and pies eight per annum | |

To

The Deputy Commissioner Trichinopoly District

Sir,

I am directed to request that on the expiration of every month you will be pleased to pay to Siddakkanda Pshanawa Pshalla through the Amildar of Musdagere the amount of Rupees 4 3 being the amount of Monthly stipend allowances as mentioned above upon the production of this order and the usual receipt

Note 1 – Payment under this order to be made only to the person in person, unless specially exempted, or under circumstances disabled to appear by ill health or bodily infirmity, in which case payment may be made upon the production of this order and upon a Life certificate signed by a responsible Official of Government

2. Should the stipendiary fail to receive his stipend for one year, payment thereof will be stopped pending special reference from the Deputy Commissioner of the District

3. In case of payments to the Managers of institutions (Devadayam), the payment should be made subject to an annual report that the institution is in good order and the conditions on which the grant is made are duly fulfilled

(sd) J. Arunachalam
Chief Secretary

Office of the Board of Revenue 15th Feby 1894

"Office Copy"
Warrant Clerk.
Mr Chief Secretary

Every payment to be recorded twice
by the Disbursing Officer

| When paid | For what | Amount | Initials of Disbursing Officer |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

26

OFFICE OF THE
834
MUZRAI
BRANCH
DEWAN OF MYSORE

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.
Muzarai Department

Dated 8th June 1894.

Despatched

Received 11/6

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1003
No.

Forwards for sanction a bill for the amount due to Subbedaru Bhirava-Bhatta a Muzarai Stipendiary in the Madapuri Taluk.

11/6/94

1378/1894

27

No 1003
Encls: 1

Tumkúr District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkúr 8th June 1894

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzarai Department

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith for sanction a bill for the amount due to Suddekunte Bhiravabhatta a Muzrai Stipendiary in the Maddagiri Taluk.

2. On the 24th of April 1886, the then Deputy Commissioner Mr Krishna murthi Rao in his order No 41 directed that without Stipendiary orders no payment should be made. As this individual had not obtained a Stipendiary order, the payment to him was stopped. Recently a

2

Stipend order was received from the Inam Superintendent with his yadi No. 409 dated the 6th March 1894 and delivered to him. The enclosed bill is for the amount due for the past years

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur district

irava

a Muttrasi Stipendiary in the Maddagiri

| Year | Amount | | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| For 11 months | | | | |
| From May 1886 to April 1887 at Rs 7-4-0 per year | 6 | 10 | 4 | On the 24th April 1886, the then Deputy Commissioner in his order No 41 directed that without Stipendiaries orders no payment should be made. As this individual had not obtained a stipendiary order the payment to him was stopped. Queries as Stipendiary order [illegible] |
| From April 1887 to April 1888 | 7 | 4 | . | |
| — do — 1888 — 1889 | 7 | 4 | . | |
| — do — 1889 — 1890 | 7 | 4 | . | |
| — do — 1890 — 1891 | 7 | 4 | . | |
| — do — 1891 — 1892 | 7 | 4 | . | |
| — do — 1892 — 1893 | 7 | 4 | . | |
| — do — 1893 — do — 1894 | 7 | 4 | . | |
| For May 1894 | . | 9 | 8 | |
| Total Rs | 58 | | | |

MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894.

*From*

*To* The Dy. Commissioner
Tumkur District

*Dated* 15 June

*Despatched* 16

*Received*

Enclosures No. 8

*General No.* 1371
*Muzarai F. No.*

His No. 1003 dated the 8th instant submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 5-8/- being the arrears of allowance due to Suddekunte Bhirava Bhatta, a muzrai stipendiary in the Maddagiri Taluk.

"

Adverting ~~With reference~~ to the above has the honor to ~~observe~~ that

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—26-9-93-1,000.

same Rs 31 Dy 10/7/94

Regis

on reference to

Murzpai stipendiaries ~~Todas for record~~ kept in this office

it is observed that no

order has been issued to the

individual ~~from this office~~,

The Dy Comm^r is therefore

requested to forward to this

Office the ~~Deed~~ Title-deed

to him

issued ~~annually~~ from the

Revt

Dean ~~Superintendent's office~~

together with the entire

~~and the other~~ records con-

-nected with the case and

~~also~~ to report whether the

amount of

arears of allowance (viz Rs 58/-)

due to the stipendiary is held

in deposit or credited to the

Surplus funds; if latter the

Treasury officer's certificate

may also be forwarded as usual.

2- The enclosure is here-

-with returned for reference

and resubmission

By order

A

6.4.5

30

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
MUZRAI BRANCH
1894

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt.
of Mysore.

Dated Muzrai Department
Tumkur 9th July 1894

Despatched 10th —do—

Received 11/7

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 31
No.

Acc Docket no 1371 of the
15th June 1894.

—— re ——

Submits the information
called for regarding
the amount due to Sudde
Kunte Bhiravabhalla a
Muzrai Stipendiary
in the Maddagerelake

Vakeel as 11/7/94

Lawelo 43 dt 17-7-94

No 31
Encl: 2

Tumkur District
Deputy Com
Tumkur 9th July 189

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your Docket 1371 dated the 15th June 1894 returning for reforwardal bill for the amount due to Sudde Kunte Bhirava Bhatta, a Muzarai Stipendeary in the Maddagiri Taluk, and calling for further information, I have the honor to state as follows -

2 The original Title-deed is said to have been lost. It has not been renewed. The said Bhiravabhatta having applied to Government for the issue of a stipend order, Government ordered it to issue Vide Correction - Inwarding with the Chief Secretary's Docket No 2941 / Petn 239 dated the 12th August 1873

3 Bhiravabhatta having failed to produce the stipend order, when he was called on to do so, his name was not entered in the list of Muzrai Stipendiaries

14

Hence the amount due to him was neither Credited to the Surplus Funds nor held in deposit.

4. The bill and the vernacular Records are herein enclosed

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

MUZARAI.

*From*

*The Secretary to the*
*Dewan of Mysore.*

*To* The Dy Commr
Tumkur Dist

*Dated* 17

*Despatched* July / 94
18

*Received*

*Enclosures No.* 1 file 100

*General No.* 43
*Muzarai F. No.*

His No. 31 of the 10th
Instant submitting
for sanction a bill
for Rs. 58/1 being the
amount of arrears of
allowance due to
Guddakunte Bhaira
-va Bhatta, Muzrai
stipendiary of the Madda
-giri Taluk

M.G.C.P.B —21-6-94 —1,000.

Same No 212 of 30.8.94

With reference to the above has the honour to enquire whether the allowance in question has been provided for in the Budget Estimates of the different years referred to in the Bill

2. The enclosures are herewith returned for reference and resubmission

By order,

Secretary.

C.T.

No: 212
Encls: 2

Tumkúr District
Deputy Commissioners office
Tumkúr 30th August 1894

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzarai Department

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No: 43 dated the 17th ultimo, enquiring if the allowance payable to Suddé Kunte Bhiravabhatta has been provid-ed for in the Budget Estimates, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Madadagiri reports that by over-sight the amount in question was not provided for in the Budget Estimates. This is to be regretted.

2. The enclosures received with the docket under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

W. Venkatarowayya.
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkúr District

(13)

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI BRANCH DEWA MYS RE

1894

From The Do
Tumkur District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.
Muzrai Department

Dated 30th August 1894

Despatched 31st -do-

Received / 9 94

Enclosures No. 1 file & a bill

General No. 212.
No.

His Docket No. 43 of the
17th ultimo.

Stating that the Amildar of Maddagiri reports that by oversight the allowance payable to Bhiravabhatta was not provided for in the Budget estimates.

To the same No 5501 of 4.11.95
2095

Read: 3

Correspondence ending with Tumkur Dy Comm'r's letter No. 212 dated 30th August 1895 regarding the arrears of Ready Money allowance payable to one Bhirava Bhatta, a Muzrai Stipendiary in Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur Dist.

V.E.
0-1
Inf 20-95 Dt 4-11-95
Encl file +1

Order thereon.

Who was in receipt of a hereditary

One Lakshmi Narasimha Bhatta, ~~the original holder of a hereditary~~ Ready Money ~~Grant~~ allowance of Rs 7-4-0 as per Mem. No. T.D 027 of the Maddagiri Taluk deed Some years ago. The allowance was then continued to his mother till her death

in 1878.[38] Thereupon, under the orders conveyed in the late Nandidrug Dy Comm'rs Docket No 1035 d/12th June '79 the allowance was con-tinued to Bhirava Bhatta -nephew of the original grantee (~~Sister's Son~~) — till the year 1886, when Mr. P. N. Krishnamurti, then Dy Comm'r of the District, finding that there was no Stipend order issued in Bhaira Bhatta's ~~his~~ name, directed that in the absence of such an order to him no ~~the~~ payment should be ~~Stopped~~. Bhirava Bhatta however failed to take action for obtaining a Stipend order in his name for 6 years (from 1886-1891) and the ~~payment of the~~ allow-ance was therefore stopped

~~Isaac~~

2 In April 1893, Bhairabhatta ~~Apte~~ finally appealed to Govt, who after a Consideration of the Circs of the case, were pleased to issue a fresh Stipend order in his favour. in the 15th Feb 1894

3. The Dy Com[r] has now subd ~~a bill for Sanction of Govt~~ Govt a bill for Rs 58 being the arrears of the allowance claimed by Bhairabhatta for from May 1886 to May 1894; and in recommending ~~the Govt sanction~~ its Sanction ~~the Amount Claimed~~ the Dy Com[r] states that the Amount in question has not been drawn & credited

20

D Surplus Funds.

Gen, Serial No 30.]

189

MUZARAL

4. Under the rules
~~reported~~ represented *From*
by the Dy Comr ~~the last~~
~~Case pleaded by~~ *To*
~~Sanction the~~
~~the bill for Rs 5 8~~ *Dated*
the Ct are pleased *Despatched*
to Sanch in the payment *Received*
of the arrears of the *Enclosures No.*
allowance due to *General No*
Bhanja Bhatta, out *Muzaral F. No.*
of the savings of the
Muzaral Budget for
the year 1895-6.
The bill for Rs 58.
is drawn by the Dy Comr.
is accordingly Sanctioned
& forwarded to the
Comptr. for audit

Revised Despatch noted

Secy

To the Dy Comr. Tumkur
with his paper
The Comptr. Dpt with the bill